Manipulating the magnetic state of a carbon nanotube Josephson junction using the superconducting phase
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The magnetic state of a quantum dot attached to superconducting leads is experimentally shown to be controlled by the superconducting phase difference across the dot. This is done by probing the relation between the Josephson current and the superconducting phase difference of a carbon nanotube junction whose Kondo energy and superconducting gap are of comparable size. It exhibits distinctively anharmonic behavior, revealing a phase-mediated singlet-to-doublet transition. We obtain an excellent quantitative agreement with numerically exact quantum Monte Carlo calculations. This provides strong support that we indeed observed the finite-temperature signatures of the phase-controlled zero temperature level crossing transition originating from strong local electronic correlations.
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When a localized magnetic moment interacts with a Fermi sea of conduction electrons, the Kondo effect can develop: spin-flip processes lead to a many-body singlet state in which the delocalized electrons screen the moment. Quantum dots (QDs) in the Coulomb blockade regime and particularly carbon nanotube (CNT) dots constitute ideal systems for the investigation of Kondo physics at the single-spin level [1–3]. In these systems, it is possible to control the number of electrons on the dot varying a gate voltage. For an odd occupation, the dot accommodates a magnetic moment which is screened provided that this is not prohibited by an energy scale larger than the Kondo energy $k_B T_K$. Temperature is the most obvious obstacle to the development of the Kondo effect since $T_K$ can be smaller than 1 K. However, if temperature is sufficiently low, the Kondo effect may compete with other quantum many-body phenomena such as superconductivity, for which the formation of Cooper pairs of energy $\Delta$ may prevent the screening of the dot’s spin. This situation can be investigated using superconducting hybrid junctions, where a supercurrent is induced by the proximity effect, for example in CNT-based QDs [4] or semiconductor-based ones [5].

A setup of a high-resistance tunnel barrier between two superconductors, also called a Josephson junction (JJ), carries a supercurrent $I = I_C \sin \varphi$, with the critical current $I_C$. The superconducting phase difference across the junction $\varphi$ controls the amplitude and the sign of the supercurrent. This is the Josephson relation, the most famous example of a current-phase relation (CPR). In some peculiar systems such as ferromagnetic superconducting junctions, the transmission of Cooper pairs gives rise to a $\pi$ phase shift of the CPR [6]. In QD JJs (tunnel barrier replaced by QD) in the strong Coulomb blockade regime where the Kondo effect is negligible, such a $\pi$ shift is observed as well since the tunneling of a Cooper pair implies reversing the order of particles within this pair. This leads to a gate-controlled sign reversal of the CPR when the parity of the number of electrons is changed, as was observed experimentally [7–10]. In contrast, if the Kondo effect and thus local correlations prevail, the spin of the dot is screened by unpaired electrons leading to a singlet ground state: the 0 junction is then recovered even though the parity of the dot is still odd.

The switching from 0- to $\pi$-junction behavior as a function of a variety of energy scales of the QD JJ in the presence of local correlations was extensively studied theoretically [11–20]. The scales are the broadening $\Gamma$ of the energy levels in the dot due to the coupling to the reservoirs, the superconducting gap $\Delta$ of the contacts, the dot’s charging energy $U$, and its level energy $\epsilon$. When these parameters fall into the 0-$\pi$ transition regime, it was predicted that the ground state of the system—singlet or doublet—depends on the phase difference $\varphi$ undergoing a level-crossing transition. This leads to a characteristic anharmonicity of the CPR for temperature $T > 0$ and a jump at a critical phase $\varphi_C$ for $T = 0$. In other words, in this particular regime of parameters, the magnetic state of the dot is predicted to be governed by the superconducting phase difference across the junction.

This Kondo-related 0-$\pi$ transition was earlier observed experimentally as a function of the gate voltage [9,21,22] and the spectroscopy of Andreev bound states enabled a better understanding of the involved physics [23–27]. Measurements of the CPR of a QD JJ embedded in a SQUID were also performed [22] and indeed showed anharmonicities. However, in the region of 0-$\pi$ transition, the obtained CPRs are not odd functions of flux as they should be, indicating that the physics is spoiled by other effects [28,29].

Here we report on the successful measurement of the CPR of a CNT-based hybrid junction over the entire 0-$\pi$ transition. This constitutes the experimental demonstration of the 0-$\pi$ transition controlled by the superconducting phase $\varphi$. A very important part of our analysis is the comparison between the measured CPRs and theoretical ones, computed for the Anderson model with superconducting leads using a numerically exact quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) method. The excellent agreement provides strong support that we indeed
observe the transition resulting from strong local electronic correlations.

We fabricated a CNT-based QD, connected to superconducting leads and embedded in an asymmetric modified SQUID [Fig. 1(a)]. This device, a SQUID containing the QD JJ (here the CNT) and a reference JJ with critical current high compared to the one of the QD JJ, allows us to determine the CPR of interest [30,31]. The switching current \( I_s \) of the SQUID versus magnetic flux is measured. The CPR of the QD JJ is then obtained by extracting the modulation of \( I_s \) around its mean value \( \langle I_s \rangle \). Our device possesses a second reference JJ and a third connection as described in Ref. [31]. This allows us to characterize each junction independently at room temperature, and to measure both the CPR of the CNT and its differential conductance in the superconducting state.

The CNTs are grown by chemical vapor deposition on an oxidized doped silicon wafer [32]. A three-junction SQUID is constructed around a selected nanotube with the following materials: Pd(7 nm)/Nb(20 nm)/Al(40 nm), AlOX, and Al(120 nm) [33]. The sample is thermally anchored to the mixing chamber of a dilution refrigerator of base temperature 50 mK and measured through low-pass-filtered Al(120 nm) [33]. The sample is thermally anchored to the mixing chamber of a dilution refrigerator of base temperature 50 mK and measured through low-pass-filtered Al(120 nm) [33].

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Scanning electron microscopy image of the measured asymmetric SQUID (see text and [31]). (b) Differential conductance \( \frac{dI}{dV_{sd}} \) in the normal state of the CNT junction versus gate voltage \( V_g \) and bias voltage \( V_{sd} \) for a large range of \( V_g \). Panels (c) and (d) focus on two Kondo zones called respectively A and B. A 1 T magnetic field is applied to destroy superconductivity in the contacts. The number of electrons in the last occupied energy levels is indicated in white. In light blue, \( \frac{dI}{dV_{sd}} \) at zero bias is plotted (axis on the right). In the normal state, the reference JJ contribution is a constant which was subtracted to obtain the plots. The white symbols in (c) correspond to the theoretical fit of the conductance (see text).

We first characterize the sample in the normal state, measuring the differential conductance \( dI/dV_{sd} \) versus bias voltage \( V_{sd} \) for various back-gate voltages \( V_g \), using a lock-in-amplifier technique. The contacts are made of Pd/Nb/Al with a gap of \( \Delta = 0.17 \) meV ± 10%, a value very close to the gap of Al but considerably smaller than the Nb gap because of the Pd layer. A magnetic field of 1 T is needed to suppress superconductivity in these contacts. Even though such a magnetic field significantly affects the Kondo effect, the results of Fig. 1 show Coulomb diamonds and an increase of the conductance at zero-bias in some diamonds, a signature of the Kondo effect. The fourfold degeneracy, characteristic of clean carbon nanotubes with orbital degeneracy [34,35], is clearly seen [Fig. 1(b)]. This allows us to determine the dot’s occupancy indicated in the figure. We focused on two ranges of gate voltages, corresponding to diamonds with odd occupancy, where nonzero conductance is observed at zero bias, zone A (around \( V_g = 6.2 \) V) and zone B (around \( V_g = 1.4 \) V) [see Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)]. Among all the Kondo ridges leading to a \( \pi \) junction in the superconducting state, those show the widest extent in gate voltage of the 0-\( \pi \) transition, which makes the measurements more accurate. The height in \( V_{sd} \) of the Coulomb diamonds gives the charging energy \( U = 3.2 \) meV ± 10% in zone A and 2.5 meV ± 10% in zone B. Due to the complex interplay of the Kondo scale \( k_BT_K \) and the Zeeman energy, that are of comparable size, \( \Gamma \) and the contact asymmetry cannot be determined directly from the experimental results; theoretical modeling is required.

The junction is modeled by an Anderson impurity model [11–20] with right (R) and left (L) BCS superconducting leads and superconducting order parameter \( e^{i\pi/2} \). The interaction of electrons on the QD is given by a standard Hubbard term with charging energy \( U \), and the coupling of the leads to the QD is described by the energy-independent hybridization strength \( \Gamma_{L,R} \). We solve it using the numerically exact CT-INT Monte Carlo method [19] in the magnetic field and calculate the finite-temperature linear conductance for different \( \Gamma_{L,R} \) as a function of the dot energy \( \epsilon \), defined relative to particle-hole symmetry. The amplitudes of the magnetic field and the charging energy are fixed to the experimentally determined values \( B = 1 \) T and \( U = 3.2 \) meV of zone A [36]. A comparison with the measured conductance at zero source drain voltage (i.e., in equilibrium) yields a set of parameters that fit the experiment best. We find \( \Gamma_R + \Gamma_L = 0.44 \) meV, \( \Gamma_R/\Gamma_L = 4 \). We also slightly varied \( T \) to estimate the electronic temperature in the sample and obtain \( T = 150 \) mK. Additionally, this procedure gives a reliable way of extracting the conversion factor \( \alpha = 39 \text{ meV} / V \) between the applied gate voltage and the dot on-site energy \( \epsilon \) (as done in [20]). Our best fit is displayed in Fig. 1(c) (white symbols). After reliably estimating all parameters, using the formula \( T_K = \sqrt{TU/2\exp(-\pi |4\epsilon-|V_g|/MU|)} \) [37], we can directly show that for zone A the dot is indeed in the regime of strongest competition between Kondo correlations and superconductivity with \( k_BT_K \approx \Delta \) [Fig. 4(a)].

Next, superconductivity is restored by suppressing the 1 T magnetic field and the CPR is measured in both Kondo zones, extracting the modulation of the switching current \( I_s \) versus magnetic field (Fig. 2) from the critical current of the SQUID. To measure the switching current, the SQUID is biased with a linearly increasing current with a rate \( \frac{dI}{dt} = 37 \) \( \mu \)A/s and the time at which the SQUID switches to a dissipative state is measured. This process is reproduced and averaged around 1000 times, the whole procedure being repeated at different values of magnetic field below a few gauss, small enough to preserve superconductivity. To obtain the modulation of the switching current \( \delta I_s \) versus magnetic field, the contribution
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FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Modulation of the switching current of the SQUID, proportional to the CPR of the CNT junction, versus the magnetic field for various gate voltages in zone A. (b) Modulation of the switching currents near the transition for several gate voltages. (c) CPR extracted from the previous data and rescaled by 1.33 (see the text) near the transition (green continuous line). The theoretical predictions resulting from QMC calculations are shown as black lines. The dashed lines are guides to the eyes and represent the contributions of the singlet (0 junction, in blue) and the doublet state (π junction, in red).

of the reference junctions (around 90 nA) is subtracted. As demonstrated in Ref. [31], \( \delta I_s \) is proportional to the CPR of the CNT junction. It should be noted that this kind of system, in particular near the 0-π transition, is very sensitive to the electromagnetic environment, which therefore needs to be optimized [28].

The main results of this work are presented in Figs. 2 and 3, where we show the extracted CPRs for gate values over the entire transition regime [curves 1–6 of Fig. 2(c) for zone A and curves 1–3 of Fig. 3(b) for zone B]. We now analyze qualitatively the shape of these curves.

On the edges of Kondo zone A, far from the transition [Fig. 2(c), curve 1], the junction behaves as a regular JJ with a CPR proportional to \( \sin(\varphi) \) (0 junction). In contrast, at the center of the Kondo zone [Fig. 2(c), curve 6] the CPR is \( \pi \) shifted [\( \delta I \propto \sin(\varphi + \pi) \)] and has a smaller amplitude characteristic for a π junction. In between, the CPR is composite with one part corresponding to 0- and another part to π-junction behavior. The latter first occurs around \( \varphi = \pi \), giving rise to a very anharmonic CPR. In the middle of the transition region, we find period halving [Fig. 2(c), curve 4] [38]. This evolution of the CPR between a 0 and π junction is consistent with the finite-temperature transition of the dot’s magnetic state (between singlet and doublet) which is controlled by the superconducting phase difference [13,17,19].

A more precise analysis of the transition allows us to attribute this 0-π transition to a competition between the Kondo effect and the superconductivity. Indeed, around the center of Kondo zone A [Fig. 2(a)], the π junction extends over a range of 60 mV of gate voltage. According to the \( dI/dV_{sd} \) in the normal state (Fig. 1) and the conversion factor \( \alpha \), the odd diamond has a width in gate voltage of about 82 mV, larger than the π-junction regime. Consequently, this 0-to-π transition is not simply due to a change in the parity of the dot filling but to an increase in the ratio \( \Delta_1/k_B T_K \) [see Fig. 4(a)].

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Calculated \( T_K \) (black line) is compared to \( \Delta \) (dotted line) as a function of the level energy \( \epsilon \) (\( \epsilon = \alpha \delta V_g \) with \( \delta V_g \) the gate value measured from half filling and \( \alpha = 39 \text{ meV}/V \)). In green dots, the critical phase \( \varphi_C \) is plotted, defined as the phase, different from 0 and \( \pi \), for which the CPR equals zero [see text and inset of (c)]. The 0-π transition occurring when \( \varphi_C \) switches from 1 to 0, the system is indeed in the regime \( k_B T_K \approx \Delta \). (b) Fourier analysis of the CPRs of zone A near the transition for different level energies \( \epsilon \). The circles correspond to the experimental CPRs whereas the continuous lines correspond to the QMC calculation (red, blue, and black: harmonics 1, 2, and 3). (c) Measured \( \varphi_C \) (red circles) versus \( \epsilon \). \( \varphi_C \) extracted from the QMC calculation and shifted is also shown (blue squares). The black line shows the result of a two-parameter fit of the analytical curve obtained in the atomic limit (see text).
For a quantitative comparison between theory and experiment, we performed a second CT-INT calculation in the superconducting state ($B = 0$) for zone A [36] to obtain the CPRs in the transition regime. We used the measured value of the superconducting gap $\Delta = 0.17$ meV and the previously determined parameters and computed the Josephson current as a function of the phase difference $\phi$. The theoretical CPRs are calculated at various $\epsilon$ (related to $V_g$ by $\epsilon = a V_g$) and plotted as black lines in comparison to our experiments in Fig. 2(c), curves 2–5. Since our setup yields a switching current that is necessarily smaller than the supercurrent, the experimental CPRs were multiplied by a unique correction factor chosen to obtain the best agreement with the QMC results. The agreement for the shape of the CPR is excellent; however a shift of the energy level $\epsilon_0 = 0.28$ meV of the theoretical CPRs is needed to superimpose them with the experimental ones [33]. The QMC calculations predict a transition region centered around a smaller $\epsilon$ than measured experimentally (see Supplemental Material [33]), a deviation between experiment and theory which we currently do not understand. Note however that the width of this transition is very well reproduced.

The comparison of the measurements and calculations can even be refined employing Fourier decompositions $I(\phi) = a_1 \sin(\phi) + a_2 \sin(2\phi) + a_3 \sin(3\phi) + \cdots$ of the $2\pi$-periodic CPRs. The first three amplitudes suffice to describe the experiment perfectly; see Fig. 4(b) where they are shown as functions of $\epsilon$. The theoretical model thus exactly captures the nontrivial finite-temperature phase dependence of the measured Josephson current.

An important piece of information that can also be extracted from the experiment is the gate voltage dependence of the measured Josephson current. The theoretical model thus exactly captures that the phase at which $\phi_C$ is associated with a first-order level crossing transition and appears as a jump of the current from positive to negative. For $T > 0$ the transition is washed out and the CPR is smoothed. However, at small enough $T$, $\phi_C$ depends only weakly on $T$ (cf. Refs. [17, 19, 33]). In Fig. 4(c), we compare $\phi_C(\epsilon)$ from experiment and theory. Both display the same characteristic shape, that can be understood based on the atomic limit of the Anderson impurity model with $\Delta > \Gamma$. A straightforward extension of the $T = 0$ atomic limit calculation (such as presented in [17]) to the case of asymmetric level-lead couplings gives $\phi_C(\epsilon) = 2 \arccos \sqrt{g -(\epsilon/\hbar)^2}$. For the experimental $\Delta \lesssim \Gamma$ the dependence of $g$ and $h$ on the model parameters cannot be trusted; we rather fit both ($g = 2.2, h = 0.72$ meV) and obtain very good agreement. This shows that the $\epsilon$ dependence of $\phi_C$ is a strong characteristic of the $0-\pi$ transition.

In conclusion we have experimentally shown that the magnetic state of a CNT quantum dot junction, singlet or doublet, can be controlled by the superconducting phase difference. This has to be contrasted to the previously measured gate-controlled transition. It is achieved by probing with unprecedented accuracy the evolution of the Josephson current at the $0-\pi$ transition. We have shown that the CPR has a composite behavior, with a $0$ and a $\pi$ component, and that the phase at which this transition occurs is gate dependent. The measurements are successfully compared to exact finite-temperature QMC calculations. The possibility to measure precisely the CPR of correlated systems motivates the study of systems with different symmetry such as Kondo SU(4) [39] or with strong spin-orbit coupling [40].
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[28] In particular, we noticed that the CPR at the transition can be distorted by the electromagnetic environment, acquiring a spurious even component as a function of the phase while the supercurrent is expected to be an odd function of the phase in absence of time-reversal-symmetry breaking.

[36] Computing the normal-state linear conductance as well as the CPRs with CT-INT is numerically costly. We thus focus on zone A, showing the complete transition.
[38] It should be noted that this $\frac{\pi}{2}$ periodicity may be accidental and not fundamental since it implies that both the 0 and $\pi$ contributions have the same shape and amplitude which, here, is made possible by the finite temperature.