Quantum transport through carbon nanotubes: Proximity-induced and intrinsic superconductivity
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We report low-temperature transport measurements on suspended single-walled carbon nanotubes (both individual tubes and ropes). The technique we have developed, where tubes are soldered on low-resistive metallic contacts across a slit, enables a good characterization of the samples by transmission electron microscopy. It is possible to obtain individual tubes with a room-temperature resistance smaller than 40 kΩ, which remain metallic down to very low temperatures. When the contact pads are superconducting, nanotubes exhibit proximity-induced superconductivity with surprisingly large values of supercurrent. We have also recently observed intrinsic superconductivity in ropes of single-walled carbon nanotubes connected to normal contacts, when the distance between the normal electrodes is large enough, since otherwise superconductivity is destroyed by (inverse) proximity effect. These experiments indicate the presence of attractive interactions in carbon nanotubes which overcome Coulomb repulsive interactions at low temperature, and enable investigation of superconductivity in a one-dimensional limit never explored before.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The hope to use molecules as the ultimate building blocks of electronic circuits motivates the quest to understand electronic transport in thinner and thinner wires, ideally with one or two conduction modes. However a number of physical phenomena tend to drive such one-dimensional (1D) metallic wires to an insulating state at low temperature. The most basic is the great sensitivity of 1D transport to disorder: a 1D wire has a localization length of the order of its elastic mean free path. It has also been shown that most molecular 1D conductors undergo a structural Peierls transition to an insulating state at low temperature. Finally the repulsive Coulomb interactions between electrons, which are very weakly screened in 1D, tend to destabilize the 1D Fermi liquid in favor of correlated states which are also insulating at low temperature.

The purpose of this paper is to show that carbon nanotubes, because of their special band structure, escape such a fate and remain conducting over lengths greater than 1 μm down to very low temperature. They do not present any detectable Peierls distortion and are weakly sensitive to disorder. Moreover, transport through the nanotubes is quantum coherent, as demonstrated by the existence of strong supercurrents when connected to superconducting contacts. The observation of intrinsic superconductivity in ropes containing a few tens of tubes is even more surprising and indicates the presence of attractive pairing interactions which overcome the strong repulsive interactions.

Single-walled carbon nanotubes are constituted by a single graphene plane wrapped into a cylinder. The Fermi surface of graphene is very particular, it is reduced to six discrete points at the corners of the first Brillouin zone. As a result, depending on their diameter and their helicity which determine the boundary conditions of the electronic wave functions around the tube, single-walled nanotubes (SWNT) can be either semiconducting or metallic. When metallic they have just two conducting channels, only very weakly coupled by electron-electron interactions. They are thus characterized by a low electronic density together with a high Fermi velocity (nearly as high as in copper) and relatively large mean free paths. These properties make them much sought-after realizations of 1D conductors or more precisely of 1D ladders with very small transverse coupling. At 1D, electron-electron interactions lead to an exotic correlated electronic state, the Luttinger liquid, where collective low-energy plasmonlike excitations give rise to anomalies in the single-particle density of states and where no long-range order exists at finite temperature.

Proof of the validity of LL description with repulsive interactions in SWNT was given by the measurement of a resistance diverging as a power law with temperature down to 10 K (Ref. 8) with an exponent depending on whether contacts are taken on the end or in the bulk of the tube. From these exponents the LL parameter g measuring the strength of the interactions was deduced to be $g = 0.25 \pm 0.05$. This value, which is much smaller than 1, corresponds to dominant repulsive interactions. The extrapolation of this power-law behavior down to very low temperature would indicate an insulating state. However, these measurements were done on nanotubes connected to the measuring leads through tunnel junctions. Because of Coulomb blockade, the low-temperature and voltage transport regime could not be explored.

We have developed a technique (described in Sec. II) in which measuring pads are connected through low-resistance contact to suspended nanotubes. It is then possible to obtain individual tubes with a resistance at room temperature (RT) no larger than 40 kΩ, which increases only slightly at low temperatures, that is 20% increase between RT and 1 K (Sec. III). The resistance vs voltage curves also exhibit weak logarithmic nonlinearities at low temperature. However, we find no simple scaling law between the voltage and the temperature dependence of the
differential resistance. This suggests that several different physical mechanisms could contribute to the very low-temperature dependence of the resistance.

In Sec. IV we show that when the contact pads are superconducting, a supercurrent can flow through SWNT’s as well as through ropes of SWNT’s. These experiments indicate, in principle, that quantum coherent transport can take place through carbon nanotubes over micron-long scales. However, the unexpectedly high measured values of the critical current in individual nanotubes, along with nonlinearities in the $I$-$V$ characteristics at voltages much higher than the superconducting gap of the contacts raise the question of possible intrinsic superconductivity.

Finally in Sec. V we present measurements on long ropes of SWNT’s connected to normal contacts which indeed show evidence of intrinsic superconductivity below 0.5 K, provided that the distance between normal electrodes is large enough. We discuss the one-dimensional character of the transition and the physical parameters which govern this transition, such as the presence of normal reservoirs, intertube coupling, and disorder.

II. SAMPLE DESCRIPTION AND PREPARATION

The SWNT’s are prepared by an electrical arc method with a mixture of nickel and yttrium as a catalyst. SWNT’s with diameters of the order of 1.4 nm are obtained. They are purified by the cross-flow filtration method. The tubes usually come assembled in ropes of a few hundred tubes in parallel, but individual tubes can also be obtained after chemical treatment with a surfactant. Connection of an individual tube or rope to measuring pads is performed according to the following nanosoldering technique: A target covered with nanotubes is placed above a metal-coated suspended Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane, in which a $0.3 \times 100 \mu$m$^2$ slit has been etched with a focused ion beam. As described in Ref. 10, we use a 10-ns-long pulse of a focused UV laser beam (power 10 kW) to detach a nanotube from the target, which then falls and connects the edges of the slit below. Since the metal electrodes on each side of the slit are locally molten, the tube gets soldered into good contacts and is suspended (see Fig. 1).

The electrodes are usually bilayers (Re/Au, Ta/Au, Ta/Sn) or trilayers (Al$_2$O$_3$/Pt/Au) of nonmiscible materials (in liquid or solid phases), in which the lower layer (Re, Ta) has a high melting temperature in order to protect the nitride membrane during the welding of the tube to the top gold layer (see Table I). Gold was mostly chosen as the solder material because it neither reacts with carbon nor oxidizes. The contact region is pictured in the transmission electron microscope (TEM) view of Fig. 1. Balls of metal molten by the laser pulse can be seen on the edges of the slit.

Because the tubes are suspended, they can be structurally and chemically characterized in a TEM working at moderate acceleration voltages (100 kV) as shown in Fig. 1. We measure the length $L$ and diameter $D$ of a rope and estimate the number of tubes $N$ in the rope through $N = [D/(d+e)]^2$, where $d$ is the diameter of a single tube ($d = 1.4$ nm) and $e$ is the typical distance between tubes in a rope ($e = 0.2$ nm).

We can also check that no metal covers or penetrates the tubes. In addition we estimate, using electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS), that chemical dopants such as oxygen are absent to within 2% (see Fig. 2). The characterization procedures can of course deteriorate the samples, because of electron irradiation damage which can cause local amorphization and induce an increase in the tubes resistance.

The originality of our fabrication technique lies in the suspended character of the tubes, the quality of the tube-electrode contact, and the ability to characterize the measured sample in a transmission electron microscope.

III. TRANSPORT IN THE NORMAL STATE

A. Measurement circuit and sample environment

The samples were measured in dilution refrigerators, at temperatures ranging from 300 K to 0.015 K, through filtered
lines. Good calibration of the thermometer and thermalization of the samples are only guaranteed below 1 K, so that higher-temperature data are only indicative. Magnetic fields of up to 5 T could be applied perpendicular to the contacts and the tubes. The resistance was measured by running a small (0.01 nA–10 nA, 30 Hz) ac current through the sample and measuring the corresponding ac voltage using lock-in detection.

The two large (roughly 10 mm$^2$) metallic contacts on either side of the suspended nanotube constitute, with the ground copper plate on the other side of the silicon wafer, two capacitances of the order of 3 pF which help filter out residual high-frequency radiation.

When the tubes were contacted to superconducting electrodes or exhibited intrinsic superconductivity, the investigation of the normal state was done by applying a magnetic field large enough to destroy the superconductivity.

### B. Individual single-wall nanotubes

Depending on their room-temperature resistance, we find that SWNT’s can be insulating or metallic at low temperature. The SWNT samples with high- (larger than 40 kΩ) RT resistance become insulating, with an exponential increase of resistance, as $T$ is decreased. This insulating behavior could be due to the atomic structure of the tube, corresponding to a semiconducting band structure, with a gap smaller than the expected value at half filling because of doping by the contacts. It could also be caused by the presence of disorder in a tube (the importance of which is discussed further along). In the following, we focus exclusively on the SWNT samples which remain metallic down to low temperature. They are presented in Table I. Their resistance at room temperature lies between 10 kΩ and 70 kΩ, and increases by less than a factor of 2 between 300 K and 1 K.

The temperature dependence of the zero-bias resistance of four samples is shown in Fig. 3 in a log-log plot. The increase of resistance as $T$ is lowered can be described by a power law with a very small negative exponent which varies between 0.01 for the less resistive tube and 0.1 for the most resistive one. Note that because of the very small values of these exponents a logarithmic law $R \propto R_0 - \alpha \ln T$ could fit the data just as well. This behavior is observed down to 50 mK.

#### TABLE I. Main features of the contacts of the investigated nanotubes. $T_c$ denotes their transition temperature when they are superconducting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>$T_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TaAu</td>
<td>5-nm Ta, 100-nm Au</td>
<td>0.4 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReAu</td>
<td>100-nm Re, 100-nm Au</td>
<td>1 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaSn</td>
<td>5-nm Ta, 100-nm Sn</td>
<td>3 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrAu</td>
<td>5-nm Cr, 100-nm Au</td>
<td>$\leq 50$ mK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtAu</td>
<td>5-nm Al$_2$O$_3$, 3-nm Pt, 200-nm Au</td>
<td>$\leq 20$ mK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2. Typical electron energy-loss spectrum (EELS) on a carbon nanotube rope (lower curve), compared to the EELS spectrum of an amorphous carbon membrane (upper curve). The peak at 285.5 eV of carbon is visible in both spectra. The oxygen peak (at 540 eV) is much larger in the membrane than on the tubes, and the O$_2$ content in the rope is estimated to be less than 1.5% atomic content.

FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of the resistance of different single tubes: ST1, ST2, and ST4 are mounted on Au/Ta contacts and measured in a magnetic field of 4 T to suppress the superconductivity of the contacts. ST3 is mounted on CrIn contacts. Note the logarithmic scales. TEM micrograph of the corresponding samples. The dark spots are Ni/Y catalyst particles.
mK without any sign of saturation. This weak temperature dependence has also recently been measured in samples where good contact to electrodes was achieved by burying the tube ends over a large distance under metallic electrodes fabricated with electron-beam lithography.\textsuperscript{16,17} It is on the other hand in stark contrast with the $T$ dependence of tubes with tunnel contacts,\textsuperscript{8,18} which exhibit power laws with much larger exponents (of the order of 0.3) at high temperature, and exponentially increasing resistance at lower temperature because of Coulomb blockade. It is not surprising that in addition to the intrinsic conducting properties of tubes (interactions, band structure, and disorder), the way they are contacted should determine the temperature dependence of the resistance. For instance, extrapolating the results obtained on Luttinger liquids between normal reservoirs,\textsuperscript{19} the resistance of a ballistic tube on perfect contacts is expected to be insensitive to interactions and to be given by $R_0/2 = h/2e^2 = 6.5$ k\Omega at all temperatures. In contrast, a tube on tunnel contacts will turn insulating at low temperature because of Coulomb blockade. Since our measurements are two wire measurements, it is difficult to determine how much of the resistance is due to the contacts, and how much is due to the tube itself. However, the existence in these very same samples of a large proximity effect along with a high supercurrent (see the following section) suggests that the transmission at the contacts is close to unity. We therefore attribute the excess resistance compared to the expected value $R_0/2$ to disorder inside the tubes.

We can then try to quantify the amount of disorder within our SWNT samples and discuss the possibility of them still being metallic. To do this, we choose to consider the nanotube as a homogeneous diffusive conductor with $M$ conducting channels in a SWNT ($M = 2$) and apply the Drude formula which relates the conductance to the elastic mean free path $l_e$ through $G = M(e^2/h)l_e/L = 2G_0l_e/L$. The values of $l_e$ deduced in this way are given in Table I. We find rather short mean free paths compared to the length of the tubes (especially for sample ST4), suggesting the presence of defects in the tubes. The microscopic cause of these short mean free paths could be heptagon-pentagon pairs or defects created by electron irradiation during observations in TEM.

One of the questions raised by these small values of $l_e/L$, or equivalently the small values of $G/G_0$, is why these samples do not localize. Indeed, according to the Thouless criterion,\textsuperscript{20} a quantum coherent conductor is expected to localize, i.e., becomes insulating at low temperature, if its conductance $G$ is smaller than $G_0$. In contrast, we observe no sign of strong localization down to very low temperature where quantum coherence throughout the whole sample is expected to be achieved. One possible explanation could lie in the fact that the conductance of a SWNT could be sensitive to interactions even on good contacts as recently suggested.\textsuperscript{21} These authors, extending the calculations of de Channon et al.\textsuperscript{22} on chiral Luttinger liquids to the nonchiral case, predict that the two-contact conductance is renormalized by a factor which depends on the boundary conditions imposed at the contacts, which can be of the order of the LL parameter $g$. In their calculation, there is no nearby gate to screen the interactions, and this is indeed our experimental configuration. These theoretical results could then explain why the high measured resistances do not lead to localization at low temperature. However, the same LL theories\textsuperscript{23} predict that for a well contacted sample the weak temperature dependence $G - G_0 = \alpha T^{-2}$ should saturate at temperatures smaller than the energy scale $E_c = h\nu_p/l_e$, where $\nu_p$ is the plasmon velocity $\nu_p = eF/L$. In our tubes $E_c$ is of the order of 30 K or more, and we observe no saturation. Therefore, we do not think that the low-temperature dependence of the resistance observed in these samples contains a clear signature of Luttinger liquid behavior.

We now examine the voltage dependence of the differential resistance $dV/dI$, below 1 K, and with the contacts in the normal state. Like the temperature dependence of the zero-bias differential resistance, the $dV/dI$ has a weak power-law dependence as a function of $V$, which can also be fitted by a logarithmic law. This behavior at first glance is qualitatively similar to what is observed in small metallic bridges presenting a small Coulomb-type anomaly in their differential resistance.\textsuperscript{24} However in the present case the similarity between temperature and bias voltage dependence is only qualitative, as shown in Fig. 4: the decrease of differential resistance with $V$ is slower than the corresponding temperature dependence. In addition, $dV/dI$ saturates below bias values corresponding to an energy much larger than the temperature. In contrast to nanotubes connected with tunnel contacts\textsuperscript{8} or small metallic constrictions,\textsuperscript{24} it is not possible to scale the data using the reduced variable $eV/k_BT$. This absence of a voltage vs temperature scaling suggests that the temperature dependence of the resistance contains other contributions besides the expected increase due to electron-electron interactions (for instance, weak localization contributions) and calls for further understanding.

**C. Ropes of single-wall carbon nanotubes**

Ropes of SWNT contacted using the same technique cover a much wider range of resistances than individual
SWNT. The resistances vary between less than 100 Ω and 10^5 Ω at 300 K for ropes containing between 40 and several hundred SWNT's (see Table III). There is no clear correlation between the number of tubes in a rope (deduced from the rope diameter) and the value of its resistance. This may indicate that in some cases only a small fraction of the tubes are connected. In contrast to individual nanotubes, the ropes seem to verify the Thouless criterion: they strongly localize when their resistance is above 10 kΩ at room temperature and stay quasimetallic otherwise. This behavior is very similar to what is observed in quasi-1D metallic wires. The temperature dependence of the metallic ropes (see Fig. 5) is also very weak. But for low-resistance ropes (R < 10 kΩ) it is not monotonous, in contrast to individual tubes, and as already observed in Ref. 26: the resistance decreases linearly as temperature decreases between room temperature and 30 K, indicating the freezing-out of phonon modes, and then increases as T is further decreased, as in individual tubes. In all samples a bias dependence of the differential resistance is also observed at low temperature (Fig. 6). The relative amplitude of these nonlinearities are much smaller than in individual SWNT. This may be related to screening of electron-electron interactions in a rope, which does not exist in a single tube. The magnitude of the resistance variation with V increases with rope resistance and is weaker than the corresponding temperature dependence. The absence of scaling laws in the reduced variable eV/k_BT is more striking than for individual tubes. In particular, the differential resistance saturates below voltages much larger than temperature.

D. Importance of intertube coupling in ropes

In order to determine whether a rope is closer to an assembly of ballistic tubes in parallel or to a single diffusive conductor, we need to evaluate the importance of intertube coupling and electron transfer between tubes within a rope. The characteristic microscopic electron hopping energy t⊥ between two carbon atoms on different tubes depends on their relative distance d through \( t_\perp \approx t_G \exp(-d/a) \). Here a is the spatial extension of the \( p_z \) orbital of carbon and \( t_G \) is the hopping energy between two sheets of graphite. Given the differences in C-C distances and orbitals, the ratio between perpendicular and parallel hopping energies in carbon nanotubes has been evaluated to be at most \( t_\perp /t_i \approx 0.01 \). In an ordered rope containing identical individual tubes, authors in Ref. 28 have shown that this low intertube coupling can induce a band gap of about 0.1 eV, turning a rope of metallic tubes into a semiconductor.

On the other hand, in a rope constituted of tubes with different helicities, in the absence of disorder within the tubes, one finds that the intertube electronic transfer, defined as the matrix element of the transverse coupling between two tubes, integrated over spatial coordinates, is negligible because of the longitudinal wave-function mismatch between neighboring tubes of different helicities. The rope can then be considered as a number of parallel independent ballistic nanotubes. The very small level of shot noise observed in low resistive ropes corroborate this statement. However, it has been shown that the presence of disorder within the tubes favors intertube scattering by relaxing the strict orthogonality between the longitudinal components of the wave functions. Using a very simple model where disorder is treated perturbatively we find that for weak disorder the intertube scattering time is shorter than the elastic scattering time within a single tube. In tubes longer than the elastic mean free path, this intertube scattering can provide additional conducting paths to electrons which would otherwise be localized in isolated tubes. Consequently, disordered ropes can be considered as anisotropic diffusive conductors.
that, in contrast to individual tubes, exhibit a localization length that can be much larger than the elastic mean free path. This is why we have tentatively determined for each rope an elastic mean free path from the value of its conductance using the multichannel Landauer expression for a disordered conductor equivalent to the Drude formula \( G = 2M(e^2/h)l_e/L_s \), with the number of channels \( M = 2N \), where \( N \) is the number of tubes inside the sample. Since the number of metallic tubes connected is statistically of the order or less than \( N/\beta \), these values of \( l_e \) are certainly underestimated. In addition, it may be possible that the metallic tubes in a rope are not all well connected to both electrodes. Then transport through the rope will proceed via intertube transfer. This is why ropes with many disordered metallic tubes might have high resistances (Table III).

IV. PROXIMITY-INDUCED SUPERCONDUCTIVITY

A nonsuperconducting or normal metal (N) in good contact with a macroscopic superconductor (S) is in the so-called "proximity effect regime": superconducting correlations enter the normal metal over a characteristic length \( L_N \) which is the smallest of either the phase-coherence length in the normal metal \( L_\phi \) or the thermal length \( L_T \) (in a clean metal \( L_T = \hbar v_F/k_B T \) and in a dirty metal \( L_T = \sqrt{\hbar D/k_B T} \), where \( D \) is the electron diffusion coefficient). Both lengths, of the order of a few micrometers, can be much longer than the superconducting coherence length \( \xi = \hbar v_F/\Delta \) or \( \sqrt{\hbar D/\Delta} \), where \( \Delta \) is the energy gap of the superconducting contacts.

If the normal metal’s length is less than \( L_N \) and if the resistance of the NS interface is sufficiently small, a gap in the density of states is induced in the normal metal, which is as large as the gap of the superconductor in the vicinity of the interface and decreases on a typical length scale of \( L_N \). Consequently, a normal metal shorter than \( L_N \) between two superconducting electrodes (a SNS junction) can have a critical temperature (equal to that of the superconductor alone) and exhibits a Josephson effect, i.e., a supercurrent at zero bias. This manifestation of the proximity effect has been extensively studied in multilayered planar SNS junctions and more recently in lithographically fabricated micron scale metallic wires made of normal noble metals between two microscopic superconducting electrodes. The maximum lower-temperature value of the supercurrent (critical current) in such SNS junctions of normal-state resistance \( R_N \) is \( \pi \Delta/eR_N \) in the short junction limit \( L = \xi \) or \( \Delta \ll \xi \) (Ref. 34), and \( \alpha E_c/eR_N \) in the limit of long junctions \( L \gg \xi \) or \( \Delta \ll E_c \).33

Probing the proximity effect in a normal wire connected to superconducting electrodes and, in particular, the existence of a Josephson current constitutes a powerful tool for the investigation of phase-coherent transport through this normal wire. In the following section we present experimental results on carbon nanotubes mounted on superconducting electrodes showing evidence of large supercurrents which indicate that coherent transport takes place across on micron length scales.

### Table II. Summary of the characteristics of four SWNT in the normal state. The mean free path \( l_e \) is estimated using \( R = R_0 L/(2l_e) \), and assuming a perfect transparency of the contact. The actual mean free path could therefore be larger.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Length (nm)</th>
<th>( R(T=290\text{ K}) ) (k( \Omega ))</th>
<th>( R(4.2\text{ K}) ) (k( \Omega ))</th>
<th>( l_e ) (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST4</td>
<td>TaAu</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2</td>
<td>TaAu</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST1</td>
<td>TaAu</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST3</td>
<td>CrIn</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III. Principal characteristics of ropes presented in this paper. The number \( N \) of SWNT in each rope is deduced from the diameter of the rope. Mean free paths are estimated assuming that all tubes participate to transport and that transmission at the contacts is of the order of unity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Length (( \mu \text{m} ))</th>
<th>( N ) (approx.)</th>
<th>( R(T=290\text{ K}) ) (k( \Omega ))</th>
<th>( R(4.2\text{ K}) ) (k( \Omega ))</th>
<th>( l_e ) (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.1 k( \Omega )</td>
<td>1.2 k( \Omega )</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>4.2 k( \Omega )</td>
<td>9.2 k( \Omega )</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>475 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>500 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>620 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>620 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>16 k( \Omega )</td>
<td>21 k( \Omega )</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>223 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>240 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.2 k( \Omega )</td>
<td>3 k( \Omega )</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>700 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>1.1 k( \Omega )</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>450 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>240 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>280 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1750 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>2 k( \Omega )</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>650 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>700 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>65 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>65 ( \Omega )</td>
<td>572</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Proximity effect in individual tubes

We have observed proximity-induced superconductivity in the three different individual SWNT ST1, ST2, and ST4 described in the preceding section. These samples are mounted on Ta/Au electrodes, see Table II which are a bi-layer (5-nm Ta, 100-nm Au) with a transition temperature of the order of 0.4 K. This value is strongly reduced compared to the transition temperature of bulk tantalum (4 K) due to the large thickness of gold relative to tantalum.

1. Zero-bias resistance

For these three samples the zero-bias resistance exhibits a broad transition around the superconducting transition temperature of the contacts and becomes zero at lower temperature except for the highest-resistance sample ST4 (\( R_N = 70 \text{ k}\( \Omega \)\)) which has a residual resistance of 800 \( \Omega \). The transition is shifted to lower temperature when a magnetic field is applied in the plane of the contacts and perpendicular to the tube axis. Above 2 T the resistance is field independent and slightly increases when the temperature is lowered below 0.2 K, as already mentioned in the preceding section.
It is ten times larger than the measured critical field of the contact depicted in Fig. 7. This value is surprisingly high since it can also be extracted as the inflection point of the magnetoresistance measured at 50 mK. The labels on the curves correspond to the value of magnetic field perpendicular to the tube axis in the plane of the contacts. The positive magnetoresistance only saturates at very high field (2T). (see Table III). The critical field is of the order of 1 T and can be also extracted as the inflection point of the magnetoresistance depicted in Fig. 7. This value is surprisingly high since it is ten times larger than the measured critical field of the contact (0.1 T). This high value of critical field could be due to local modifications of the bilayer Ta/Au film in the contact region due to the laser pulse, in particular, the melted upper gold film is probably much thinner than the original one. It is however important to note that these critical fields are of the same order of magnitude in all the samples measured. As Fig. 7 shows, the transition line $T_c(H)$ is a linear function of field for all samples.

### 2. Bias dependence and critical current

The most striking signature of induced superconductivity is the existence of Josephson supercurrents through the samples. The existence of a supercurrent shows up in the voltage vs current curves and differential resistance, see Fig. 8. For sample ST1, the transition between the superconducting state (zero voltage drop through the sample) and the dissipative (resistive) state is quite abrupt and displays hysteresis at low temperature. It is characterized by a critical current $I_c = 0.14 \mu A$ near zero temperature. Similar behavior with smaller values of critical current is also observed in the other samples (see Table IV). The product $R_N I_c$ at $T=0$ varies between 1.6 and 3.5 mV. If we deduce $\Delta$ from $T_c$ of the superconducting contacts, we find that $R_N I_c$ is more than ten times larger than the maximum expected value ($\pi \Delta I_e = 0.2 mV$) for the short junction limit. Therefore, $E_c > \Delta$, to which all samples correspond. It is then also interesting to note that the product $eR_N I_c$ is closer to the gap of pure tantalum $\Delta_t = 0.7$ meV. But it is difficult to understand why the induced gap could be the gap of pure tantalum whereas the resistance drop of the tube follows the transition of the Au/Ta bilayer.

Somewhat unexpectedly and uncharacteristic of metal SNS junctions, the normal-state resistance is not recovered above the critical current, but the $V(I)$ curve shows further hysteretic jumps at higher currents.

These features also appear in the differential resistance $dV/dI$ (see Fig. 9). The superconducting state corresponds to the zero differential resistance at low dc current. At the critical current the differential resistance displays a sharp peak followed by smaller ones at higher current. Each peak corresponds to a hysteretic feature in the dc $V$-$I$ curve. The peaks are linearly shifted to lower current when increasing magnetic field and all disappear above 2 T. The temperature and field dependencies of the critical current extracted from this data are plotted in Fig. 9. The temperature dependence is very weak below 0.8$T_c$ and decreases rapidly above. It does

![FIG. 7. Left panel: Temperature dependence of the resistance of three different single tubes ST1,2,4. mounted on TaAu, for different values of the magnetic field perpendicular to the tube axis in the plane of the contacts. (The labels on the curves correspond to the value of magnetic field in tesla.) For some samples the resistance of the contacts exhibited several steps in temperature, probably indicating inhomogeneities in the thickness of the gold layer. This may explain the small-resistance drop observed on sample ST1 around 1 K at low field. Top right panel: field dependence of the transition temperature, defined as the inflection point of $R(T)$. Bottom right panel: Magnetoresistance of the single tubes measured at 50 mK (the magnetic field is perpendicular to the tube axis in the plane of the contacts). This positive magnetoresistance only saturates at very high field (2T).](image1)

![FIG. 8. $I$ vs $V$ curve of the single tube ST1, from which the critical current is deduced. Right panel, data on a wider current scale showing the existence of voltage steps for currents above $I_c$. The arrows indicate the current sweep direction.](image2)
not follow the behavior expected for SNS junctions (neither in the limit of short junctions nor long junctions where an exponential decay of the critical current is expected\cite{33}). The field dependence of the critical current is precisely linear for all samples, with disappearance of critical current above 1 T.

It is very difficult to understand these results in the framework of conventional proximity-induced superconductivity. In particular, we have already mentioned that the $I$-$V$ curves exhibit nonlinearities, and signs of superconductivity at very large bias, i.e., much larger than the gap of the Ta/Au contacts (and even the gap of pure tantalum). Such nonlinearities recall manifestations of phase slips in 1D superconductors:\cite{35} Above $I_c$, small normal regions of size comparable to the inelastic length $L_N$ are nucleated around defects in the sample (phase slip centers). They have not, to the best of our knowledge, been observed before in SNS junctions. These observations by themselves suggest the possibility of intrinsic superconducting correlations in SWNT, which will be discussed further on.

It is therefore interesting to compare these results with the superconductivity of other types of metallic nanowires. Reference 36 reports superconducting nanowires fabricated by depositing thin films of amorphous MoGe on suspended carbon nanotubes. Disappearance of superconductivity in the most resistive wires is attributed to the formation of quantum phase slips at very low temperature. The first data obtained in these samples indicated disappearance of superconductivity for normal-state resistance larger than $h/4e^2$. In a later paper,\cite{37} the authors show that what determines the suppression of superconductivity is not the normal state resistance but rather the resistance per unit length. They find that the transition is suppressed for resistances per unit length larger than roughly 0.1 kΩ/nm. We have observed proximity-induced superconductivity in SWNT’s with a normal-state resistance up to 70 kΩ, with a resistance per unit length of the order of 0.25 kΩ/nm. Note however that the MoGe nanowires contain typically 10,000 channels, whereas our nanotubes only contain two conducting channels. This comparison tells us that proximity-induced superconductivity is amazingly robust in our samples.

Finally signs of proximity-induced superconductivity have also been observed by other groups, on individual SWNT (Ref. 38) and on multiwall nanotubes,\cite{39} but without supercurrents. In The Appendix of this paper we show our results on proximity-induced superconductivity in multiwall nanotubes.

### TABLE V. Main features of the ropes suspended between superconducting contacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T_C$ (K)</th>
<th>$R_N$ (Ω)</th>
<th>$I_c$ (μA)</th>
<th>$Δ$</th>
<th>$R_N I_c$</th>
<th>$E_C$ (meV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_{1\text{ReAu}}$</td>
<td>$\leq 50$ mK</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{2\text{ReAu}}$</td>
<td>$\leq 50$ mK</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{3\text{ReAu}}$</td>
<td>1 K</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.7 μA</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.175 mV</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{3\text{TaSn}}$</td>
<td>0.7 K</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>0.54 μA</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.5 mV</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{5\text{TaSn}}$</td>
<td>0.6 K</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.77 μA</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.63 mV</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 9. Differential resistance of the three samples ST1, 2, and 4 for different magnetic fields. Right panels: Field and temperature dependence of the critical current, defined as the current at which the first resistance jump occurs. The critical current disappears around $H_c=1$ T, which is also the value deduced from the low-temperature $R(H)$ curves in Fig. 7.
for the individual tubes, jumps in the normal-state resistances differ by more than a factor of 3. As $R$ dependence of the critical current in observed at bias larger than the gap of tin. The temperature dependence of the critical current $I_c$ of Au/Re is 1 K. Sample $R_{3\text{ReAu}}$ becomes superconducting below 1 K, but the resistance steps above the transition indicates that the superconductivity of the contacts may not be homogeneous.

**B. Proximity-induced superconductivity in ropes**

We also found proximity-induced superconductivity in ropes of SWNT mounted on Re/Au or Ta/Sn, characteristics of which are shown in Table V and Figs. 10–13.

It is interesting to note that in contrast to what was found in SWNT's, we only observe proximity-induced superconductivity in ropes with a normal-state resistance less than 10 kΩ. More resistive ropes, as previously mentioned, are insulating at low temperature. The data of Fig. 10 for ropes mounted on Re/Au show that although a resistance drop is observed in the vicinity of the transition of the contacts, proximity-induced superconductivity is not always complete and is only slightly visible on the most resistive sample. This may result from the combined effects of the quality of the contacts and disorder in the rope for which the condition $L_N > L$ may not be fulfilled in our available temperature range. The critical current deduced at 50 mK from the $I$-$V$ curves are given in Table V and compared to the theoretical predictions. The rope on Re/Au contacts is in the short junction regime ($\Delta < E_c$) and the ropes on TaSn are in the long junction limit. In all cases the measured supercurrents are larger than allowed by the theory of SNS junctions. It is noteworthy that the two ropes mounted on tin have similar critical currents ($I_c \approx 0.7 \mu$A) in spite of the fact that their normal-state resistances differ by more than a factor of 3. As for the individual tubes, jumps in the $I$-$V$ curves are also observed at bias larger than the gap of tin. The temperature dependence of the critical current in $R_{3\text{ReAu}}$ (see Fig. 13) is more pronounced than for individual tubes, and can be approximately fitted by an Ambegaokar-Baratoff law typical of tunnel junctions. In the case of ropes mounted on tin contacts this temperature dependence is difficult to extract because of the rounding of the $I$-$V$ curves with increasing temperature. In contrast to individual tubes, the field dependence is not monotous. The critical current first increases up to 0.1 T where it goes through a maximum and decreases linearly at higher field up to 1 T. Such nonmonotous behavior has been predicted in a number of models describing nonhomogenous superconductors and could be related to interference between different electronic trajectories along the various tubes of the rope and the existence of negative Josephson couplings between these tubes. This recalls the nonmonotous magnetoresistance of amorphous superconducting wires and in multiwall carbon nanotubes (see Appendix), and may have a common origin.

**Effect of magnetic-field orientation**

For the ropes mounted on tin we investigated the magnetotransport for different field orientations. We found that with the field parallel to the contacts (and perpendicular to the tubes) the critical fields were much larger than the critical field of the contacts. This may be explained by a local thinning of the metallic layer contacting the tube in the contact region after soldering. Figure 14 shows the magnetoresistance for these two different field orientations. For these samples the magnetoresistance was also measured between 0 and 20 T using the high-field facility in Grenoble at 1 K with the magnetic field along the rope (see Fig. 15). The magnetoresistance increases linearly with field and saturates only above 10 T. This field is of the order of the Clogston Chandrasekhar criterion for destruction of superconductivity by pair breaking due to spin polarization: $\mu_B H_p = \Delta_{Sn}/\mu_B = 7$ T, where $\Delta_{Sn}$ is the gap of tin. Unfortunately, the high-field experiments were not conducted in the same temperature range as the low-field ones, and in the second measurement (low field), because of thermal cycling, the sample exhibited less proximity effect. Nevertheless, it seems as though the superconductivity depends on the orientation of the magnetic field with respect to the tube and not only on its orientation with respect to the contacts, as expected for proximity-induced superconductivity.

**C. Discussion**

Observation of a strong proximity effect indicates that phase-coherent transport takes place in carbon nanotubes on the micron scale. However in the case of single-wall tubes the surprisingly high values of critical currents cannot be
described by the standard theory of SNS junctions. The problem of a SNS junction constituted of a Luttinger liquid between two superconducting reservoirs has been considered theoretically for weakly transmitting contacts\textsuperscript{43} and for perfectly transmitting contacts.\textsuperscript{44,45} In both limits the authors predict that it is indeed possible to induce superconductivity by a proximity effect. In the case of repulsive interactions and perfectly transmitting interfaces the zero-temperature value of the critical current is not changed by the interactions. More recently it has been shown that the presence of attractive interactions in a Luttinger liquid can result in a significant increase of the critical current\textsuperscript{46,47} and unusual temperature dependencies very similar to what we observe for individual tubes. A more accurate fit of the temperature dependence of the critical current in the rope including the existence of the inflection point can also be obtained using the theoretical predictions of Gonzalez.\textsuperscript{47}

Our data could thus be explained by the existence of superconducting fluctuations intrinsic to SWNT. For an infinite nanotube, because of its 1D character, these fluctuations are not expected to give rise to a superconducting state at finite temperature. However, the superconducting state could be stabilized by the macroscopic superconductivity of the contacts. In such a situation, it is conceivable to expect the critical current to be enhanced compared to its value in a conventional SNS junction and to be given by the critical current of a superconducting 2-channel wire: $I_c = (4e^2/h)D_c$,\textsuperscript{48} determined by the value of the superconducting pairing amplitude $\Delta_c$ inside the wire and independent of the normal-state resistance of the nanotube (in the limit where the mean free path is larger than the superconducting coherence length).

The existence of superconducting fluctuations intrinsic to nanotubes may also help in explaining the positive magnetoresistance observed in all our samples where the normal-state resistance is recovered at fields much higher than the critical field of the contacts. In the following section we show that these hypotheses are corroborated by the observation of intrinsic superconductivity in ropes of SWNT.

FIG. 12. Left panel: Temperature dependence of zero-bias resistance of ropes $R_3^{TaSn}$ and $R_5^{TaSn}$. Right panels: differential resistance curves taken at 0.1 T, with an example of the hysteresis with current sweep direction.

FIG. 13. $V$ vs $I$ curves of ropes $R_3^{TaSn}$ and $R_5^{TaSn}$ in fields ranging from 0 to 1.5 T. Inset: field dependence of the critical current deduced from these $I$-$V$ curves. The field is parallel to the contacts and perpendicular to the tubes. Note the non monotonous behavior.

FIG. 14. Magnetoresistance of the rope $R_3^{TaSn}$ measured at 50 mK for the field parallel and perpendicular to the contacts, and always perpendicular to the tube.
In the following we discuss the low-temperature transport below 1 K of suspended ropes of SWNT connected to normal electrodes. The electrodes are trilayers of sputtered Al₂O₃/Pt/Au of respective thickness 5, 3, and 200 nm. They do not show any sign of superconductivity down to 50 mK.

As shown in Fig. 16, different behaviors are observed for the temperature dependence of the zero-bias resistance. The resistance of some samples \( R_{3PtAu} \) and \( R_{6PtAu} \) increases weakly and monotonously as \( T \) is reduced, whereas the resistance of others \( R_{1,2,4,5PtAu} \) drops over a relatively broad temperature range, starting below a temperature \( T^* \) between 0.4 and 0.1 K (\( T^*_{1} \approx 140 \) mK, \( T^*_{2} \approx 550 \) mK, and \( T^*_{4} \approx 100 \) mK). The resistance of \( R_{1PtAu} \) is reduced by 30% at 70 mK and that of \( R_{4PtAu} \) by 75% at 20 mK. In both cases no inflection point in the temperature dependence is observed. On the other hand the resistance of \( R_{2PtAu} \) decreases by more than two orders of magnitude, and reaches a constant value below 100 mK, \( R_r \approx 74 \) Ω. This drop of resistance disappears when increasing the magnetic field. For all the samples we can define a critical field perpendicular to the ropes above which the normal-state resistance is recovered. As shown in Fig. 17 this critical field decreases linearly with temperature, very similar to what is seen in SWNT and ropes connected to superconducting contacts. We define a zero-temperature critical field \( H_c \) as the extrapolation of \( H_c(T) \) to zero-temperature (see Fig. 17).

Above the critical field, the resistance increases with decreasing temperature, similar to ropes 3 and 6, and becomes independent of magnetic field. Figures 18 and 19 show that in the temperature and field range where the zero-bias resistance drops, the differential resistance is strongly current dependent, with lower resistance at low current. These data suggest that the ropes 1, 2, and 4 are superconducting. Although the experimental curves for \( R_{2PtAu} \) look similar to those of SWNT’s connected to superconducting contacts, \(^{11}\)

![FIG. 15. Magnetoresistance of the rope \( R_{3PtAu} \) measured at 1 K with the field along the tube in the high-field facility at Grenoble. Note that the magnetoresistance increases in magnetic field up to \( H = 10 \) T.](image)

![FIG. 16. Resistance as a function of temperature for the six samples described in Table VI, both in zero fields and large fields.](image)

![FIG. 17. Resistance as a function of temperature for samples \( R_{1,2,5PtAu} \) showing a transition. The resistance of \( R_{1} \) is measured in magnetic fields of \( \mu_0H = 0, 0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 \) and \( 1 \) T from bottom to top. The resistance of \( R_{2} \) is taken at \( \mu_0H = 0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1, 1.25, 1.5, 1.75, 2 \), and \( 2.5 \) T from bottom to top. That of \( R_{5} \) at \( \mu_0H = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5 \), and \( 1.4 \) T from bottom to top. Bottom right: \( T_c(H) \) for \( R_{2} \).](image)

### TABLE VI. Summary of the characteristics of six ropes mounted on Pt/Au contacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L (( \mu \text{m} ))</th>
<th>( N )</th>
<th>( R_{290 \text{K}} )</th>
<th>( R_{1,2 \text{K}} )</th>
<th>( T^* )</th>
<th>( I_c ) (( \mu \text{A} ))</th>
<th>( I^*_c ) (( \mu \text{A} ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1(_{PtAu})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>10.5 kΩ</td>
<td>1.2 kΩ</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.1 μA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2(_{PtAu})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>4.2 kΩ</td>
<td>9.2 kΩ</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>0.075 μA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3(_{PtAu})</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>400 Ω</td>
<td>450 Ω</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4(_{PtAu})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>620 Ω</td>
<td>620 Ω</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5(_{PtAu})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>16 kΩ</td>
<td>21 kΩ</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>20 nA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6(_{PtAu})</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>240 Ω</td>
<td>240 Ω</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
there are major differences. In particular, \( V(I) \) and \( dV/dI(I) \) do not show any supercurrent because of the existence of a finite residual resistance due to the contacts being normal.

Before analyzing the data further we wish to emphasize that this is the first observation of superconductivity in wires having less than 100 conduction channels. Earlier experiments in nanowires\(^{37,41,49}\) dealt with at least a few thousand channels. We therefore expect a strong 1D behavior for the transition. In particular, the broadness of the resistance drop with temperature is due to large fluctuations of the superconducting order parameter in reduced dimension starting at the 3D transition temperature \( T^* \). In the following we will try to explain the variety of behaviors observed taking into account several essential features: the large normal contacts, together with the finite length of the samples compared to relevant mesoscopic and superconducting scales, the number of tubes within a rope, the amount of disorder, and intertube coupling. We first assume that all ropes are diffusive conductors but we will see that this hypothesis is probably not valid in the less resistive ropes.

### A. Normal contacts and residual resistance

We first recall that the resistance of any superconducting wire measured through normal contacts (a NSN junction) cannot be zero: a metallic SWNT, with two conducting channels, has a contact resistance of half the resistance quantum, \( R_Q/2 \) [where \( R_Q = \hbar/(2e^2) = 12.9 \, \text{k}\Omega \)], even if it is superconducting. A rope of \( N_m \) parallel metallic SWNT will have a minimum resistance of \( R_Q/(2N_m) \). Therefore we use the residual resistance \( R_\ell \) to deduce a lower bound for the number of metallic tubes in the rope \( N_m = R_Q/2R_\ell \). From the residual resistances of 74 \text{\Omega} in sample \( R_2_{\text{PtAu}} \) and less than 170 \text{\Omega} in \( R_4_{\text{PtAu}} \) we deduce that there are at least \( \approx 90 \) metalic tubes in \( R_2_{\text{PtAu}} \) and \( \approx 40 \) in \( R_4_{\text{PtAu}} \). In both cases this means that a large fraction of tubes participate to transport and justify \emph{a posteriori} the hypothesis that ropes are diffusive conductors. In the other samples we cannot estimate the number of conducting tubes because we do not reach the regime where the resistance saturates to its lowest value.

### B. Estimate of the superconducting coherence length

Assuming that the BCS relation holds we get for the superconducting gap \( \Delta = 1.76k_B T^* \approx 85 \, \text{\mu eV} \) for \( R_2_{\text{PtAu}} \). We can then deduce the superconducting coherence length along the rope in the diffusive limit:

\[
\xi = \sqrt{\hbar v_F l_\ell/\Delta}.
\]

This expression yields \( \xi \approx 0.3 \, \text{\mu m} \), where \( v_F \) is the longitudinal Fermi velocity \( 8 \times 10^5 \, \text{m/s} \). (Consistent with 1D superconductivity, \( \xi_2 \) is ten times larger than the diameter of the rope.) We now estimate the superconducting coherence length of the other samples, to explain the extent or absence of observed transition. Indeed, investigation of the proximity effect at high-transparency NS interfaces has shown that superconductivity resists the presence of normal contacts only if the length of the superconductor is much greater than \( \xi \). This condition is nearly fulfilled in \( R_2_{\text{PtAu}} \) (\( \xi \approx L/3 \)). Using the high-temperature resistance values and assuming a gap equal to that of \( R_2_{\text{PtAu}} \) we find \( \xi_1 \approx L_1/2 \), \( \xi_2 \approx L_2/2 \), \( \xi_3 \approx 2L_3 \), and \( \xi_4 \approx 2L_6 \). These values explain qualitatively the reduced transition temperature of \( R_1_{\text{PtAu}} \) and \( R_4_{\text{PtAu}} \), and the absence of a transition for \( R_3_{\text{PtAu}} \) and \( R_6_{\text{PtAu}} \). Moreover, we can argue that the superconducting transitions we see are not due to a hidden proximity effect: if the \( Al_2O_3/\text{PtAu} \) contacts were made superconducting by the laser pulse, the shortest ropes (3 and 6) would become superconducting at temperatures higher than the longer ones (1, 2, and 4). It is however not possible to explain the behavior of sample 5 with the same kind of argument, since the same expression yields a
coherence length $\xi_s$ much shorter than the length of the sample, and nonetheless no complete transition is seen. We believe that this is due to the strong disorder in this sample, which is very close to the localization limit. Figure 17 shows that the transition even disappeared after thermal cycling when an increase of room-temperature resistance led to complete localization at low temperature.

C. Role of the number of tubes

Another a priori important parameter is the number of tubes in a rope: the lesser are the tubes in a rope, the closer the system is to the strictly 1D limit, and the weaker is the transition. If we compare the two ropes in Fig. 20, it is clear that the transition both in temperature and magnetic field is much broader in the rope $R_4$ PtAu with only 40 tubes than in the rope $R_2$ PtAu with 350 tubes. Moreover, there is no inflexion point in the temperature dependence of the resistance in the thinner rope, typical of a strictly 1D behavior. We also expect a stronger screening of $e-e$ interactions in a thick rope compared to a thin one, which could also favor superconductivity as we will discuss below.

D. Role of disorder and intertube coupling

As is clear from expression (1) for the superconducting coherence length, disorder is at the origin of a reduction of the superconducting coherence length in a diffusive sample compared to a ballistic one and can in this way also decrease the destructive influence of the normal contacts. More subtle and specific to the physics of ropes, we have seen that disorder also enhances intertube coupling, so it can increase the dimensionality of the superconducting transition: weakly disordered ropes such as $R_1$ and $R_4$ will be more 1D-like than the more disordered rope $R_2$. Of course, disorder must always be sufficiently small so as not to induce localization. These considerations may explain the variety of behaviors observed and depicted in Fig. 21.

Finally, disorder is also the essential ingredient which reveals the difference between the normal state and the superconducting state. In a ballistic rope we would not expect to observe a variation of the resistance over the superconducting transition because in both cases the resistance of the rope is just the contact resistance.

To gain insight in the transport regime, we have performed shot noise measurements of the ropes $R_1,3,4,6_{\text{PtAu}}$, in the normal state (higher level of 1/f noise in the more resistive ropes $R_{2,5_{\text{PtAu}}}$, made the analysis of shot noise impossible in those samples), between 1 and 15 K. We found a surprisingly strong reduction of the shot noise, by more than a factor of 100, which is still not well understood, but would indicate that all the tubes in these ropes are either completely ballistic or completely localized, in strong apparent contradiction with the observation of the superconducting transition of $R_{4_{\text{PtAu}}}$, with a 60% resistance drop.

It would however be possible to explain a resistance decrease in ballistic ropes turning superconducting, if the number of conducting channels is larger for Cooper pairs than for individual electrons. In his recent theoretical investigation of superconductivity in ropes of SWNT, Gonzalez has shown the existence of a finite intertube transfer for Cooper pairs, even between two tubes of different helicities which have no possibility of single-electron intertube transfer. This Cooper pair delocalization could lead to the opening of new channels when a rope containing a mixture of insulating and ballistic tubes becomes superconducting.

E. Signatures of 1D superconductivity

Reminiscent of measurements of narrow superconducting metal wires, we find jumps in the differential resistance as the current is increased (Fig. 22). For sample 2 the differential resistance at low currents remains equal to $R_r$ up to 50
nA, where it strongly rises but does not recover its normal-state value until 2.5 μA. The jump in resistance at the first step corresponds approximately to the normal-state resistance of length $\xi_2$ of sample 2. Each peak corresponds to a hysteretic feature in the $V$-$I$ curve [Fig. 22(b)]. These jumps are identified as phase slips, which are the occurrence of normal regions located around defects in the sample. Such phase slips can be thermally activated (TAPS), leading to a roughly exponential decrease of the resistance instead of a gap $\Delta$.

Also, in sample 2 the current at which the first resistance jump occurs (60 nA, see Fig. 19) is close to the theoretical critical current of a diffusive superconducting wire with gap $\Delta_2 = 85 \mu eV$ ($I_{c2} = \Delta_2 / R_e e = 20$ nA), whereas the current at which the last resistance jump occurs (2.4 μA, see Fig. 18) is close to the theoretical critical current of a ballistic superconducting wire with the same number of conducting channels $I_{c0} = \Delta_2 / R_e e = 1 \mu A$.52

We also expect this current $I_{c0}$ to be the critical current of a structure with a same wire placed between superconducting contacts with gap $\Delta_2$ even if $\Delta_5 < \Delta_2$ and can thus be much larger than the Ambegaokar-Baratoff prediction $R_N I_{c0} = \Delta_5 / e$.40 Intrinsic superconductivity might thus explain the anomalously large supercurrent measured in the experiments described in the preceding section, where nanotubes are connected to superconducting contacts. It is also interesting to compare the low-temperature quadratic dependence of the critical currents which is very similar in all samples both on normal and superconducting contacts.

VI. CONCLUSION

Data depicted in the preceding section show the existence of intrinsic superconductivity in ropes of carbon nanotubes in which the number of tubes varies between 30 and 400. The question of the existence of superconducting correlations in the limit of the individual tube cannot be answered yet. It is of course tempting to consider the high supercurrent measured on superconducting contacts as a strong indication that superconducting fluctuations are present also in individual carbon nanotubes. However since unscreened Coulomb repulsive interactions in these samples are expected to suppress superconductivity, precise investigations of individual carbon nanotubes on normal contacts are necessary. It is essential to conduct experiments on sufficiently long samples (such as the ropes presently studied) so that intrinsic superconductivity is not destroyed by the normal contacts. Note that recent magnetization experiments56 also strongly support the existence of superconducting fluctuations below 10 K in very small diameter (0.4 nm) individual tubes grown in zeolites.

We now discuss what could be the relevant mechanism for superconductivity in carbon nanotubes. Observation of superconductivity in carbon based compounds was reported a long time ago. First in graphite intercalated with alkali-metal atoms (Cs, K), superconducting transitions were observed between 0.2 and 0.5 K.57 Much higher temperatures were observed in alkali-metal-doped fullerenes because of the coupling to higher-energy phonons. In all these experiments it was essential to chemically dope the system to observe superconductivity. There are no such chemical dopants in the ropes of carbon nanotubes studied here. As shown in
previous works there is some possibility of hole doping of the tubes by the gold metallic contacts in which electronic work function is larger than in the tubes. However, although this doping could slightly depopulate the highest occupied energy band in a semiconducting tube, it is very unlikely that it is strong enough to depopulate other lower-energy subbands for a metallic tube with a diameter in the nanometer range. More interesting would be a mechanism related to the 1D electronic structure of carbon nanotubes. A purely electronic coupling mechanism has been indeed shown to induce superconducting fluctuations in coupled double chain systems such as ladders. The relevance of this mechanism has been considered also in carbon nanotubes away from half filling but the very small order of magnitude for the energy scale of these superconducting fluctuations is not compatible with our findings.

Recent estimations of the electron-phonon coupling constants in carbon nanotubes seem to be more promising. It is shown that the breathing modes specific to carbon nanotubes can be at the origin of a strong electron-phonon coupling giving rise to attractive interactions which can possibly overcome repulsive interactions in very small diameter tubes. The possible coupling of these rather high-energy modes to low-energy compression modes in the nanotube have been also considered, following the Wenzel Bardeen singularity scheme initially proposed by Loss and Martin where low-energy phonons are shown to turn repulsive interactions in a Luttinger liquid into attractive ones and drive the system towards a superconducting phase. The suspended character of the samples may be essential in this mechanism.
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APPENDIX: PROXIMITY-INDUCED SUPERCONDUCTIVITY IN MULTIWALL CARBON NANOTUBES

We have also investigated multiwall carbon nanotubes on tin contacts. We show in Fig. 23 the $I$-$V$ curves recorded at several temperatures on a multiwall carbon nanotube with a normal-state resistance of 10 kΩ. The curves do not exhibit a clear Josephson behavior such as in ropes but only linearities in the $I$-$V$ curves. It is however possible to define a characteristic current of the order of 30 nA, very small compared to the values of critical currents observed in ropes). Moreover there is no sign of phase slips. The magnetoresistance is a nonmonotonous function of magnetic field, this behavior has already been observed in amorphous superconducting wires.

FIG. 23. Top: $I$-$V$ curves of a multiwall nanotube mounted on tin contacts for various values of temperature. The characterization by transmission electron microscopy yields the diameters of the external and smallest internal shell, respectively, equal to 25 and 12 nm which corresponds to a multiwall nanotube of $\sim 40$ shells. Bottom: Magnetoresistance measured at 60 mK.

normal-state resistance of 10 kΩ. The curves do not exhibit a clear Josephson behavior such as in ropes but only linearietis in the $I$-$V$ curves. It is however possible to define a characteristic current of the order of 30 nA (i.e., very small compared to the values of critical currents observed in ropes). Moreover there is no sign of phase slips. The magnetoresistance is a nonmonotonous function of magnetic field, this behavior has already been observed in amorphous superconducting wires.
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